TOTALLY REFLEXIVE MODULES CONSTRUCTED FROM SMOOTH PROJECTIVE CURVES OF GENUS $g \ge 2$

RYO TAKAHASHI AND KEI-ICHI WATANABE

ABSTRACT. In this paper, from an arbitrary smooth projective curve of genus at least two, we construct a non-Gorenstein Cohen-Macaulay normal domain and a nonfree totally reflexive module over it.

1. Introduction

About fourty years ago, Auslander [1] introduced a homological invariant for finitely generated modules over a noetherian ring which is called Gorenstein dimension, or G-dimension for short. He developed the theory of G-dimension with Bridger [2]. So far, G-dimension has been studied deeply from various points of view; the details can be found in Christensen's book [9].

Modules of G-dimension zero are called totally reflexive. Any finitely generated free module is totally reflexive. Over a Gorenstein local ring, the totally reflexive modules are precisely the maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules, and hence there exists a nonfree totally reflexive module unless the ring is regular. Over a non-Gorenstein local ring, on the other hand, it is difficult in general to confirm whether there exists a nonfree totally reflexive module or not. Avramov and Martsinkovsky [7, Examples 3.5] proved that over a Golod local ring that is not a hypersurface (e.g. a Cohen-Macaulay non-Gorenstein local ring with minimal multiplicity [3, Example 5.2.8]) every totally reflexive module is free. Yoshino [14, Theorem 3.1] gave several necessary conditions for artinian local rings of Loewy length three to possess nonfree totally reflexive modules. However, for other cases, we do not have much information about local rings over which there exist nonfree totally reflexive modules.

The purpose of this paper is to construct a non-Gorenstein Cohen-Macaulay normal domain having a nonfree totally reflexive module from any given smooth projective curve of genus at least two. Nonfree totally reflexive modules have been constructed in several papers [5, 6, 7, 11, 14]. The examples we will show are reflexive modules whose order is two in the class group. The construction is quite geometric and we think that this is the first occasion that the theory of G-dimension is connected to algebraic geometry. We refer to [10] for the basic facts of the geometry of algebraic curves.

The following theorem is the main result of this paper.

Theorem 1.1. Let C be a smooth projective curve of genus g over an algebraically closed field k. Then the following hold.

1

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 13C14, 13H10, 14C20, 14H45.

Key words and phrases. totally reflexive module, normal domain, Weil divisor, smooth projective curve.

- (1) There exists a Weil divisor D on C of degree g+1 such that the associated invertible sheaf $\mathcal{O}_C(D)$ is generated by global sections and satisfies $\dim_k H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(D)) = 2$, $H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C(D)) = 0$.
- (2) For a divisor D as in (1), set $R = \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(2nD))$ and $M = \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C((2n+1)D))$. Then R is a standard graded normal k-algebra of dimension 2 and type g, and M is a finitely generated graded nonfree totally reflexive R-module of rank 1 which has a minimal graded free resolution

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{A} R(-n)^{\oplus 2} \xrightarrow{A} R(-n+1)^{\oplus 2} \xrightarrow{A} \cdots \xrightarrow{A} R(-1)^{\oplus 2} \xrightarrow{A} R \to M \to 0,$$

where A is a 2×2 matrix whose entries are elements of R of degree 1.

We should note that in the above theorem R is not Gorenstein whenever g is more than or equal to 2.

In the next section, we will give the definition of a totally reflexive module, and prove the above theorem.

2. Proof of the theorem

We start by recalling the definition of a totally reflexive module.

Definition 2.1. Let R be a commutative noetherian ring, and M a finitely generated R-module. We say that M is *totally reflexive* if the following two conditions hold:

- (1) The natural homomorphism $M \to \operatorname{Hom}_R(\operatorname{Hom}_R(M,R),R)$ is an isomorphism, namely, M is reflexive.
- (2) $\operatorname{Ext}_R^i(M,R) = \operatorname{Ext}_R^i(\operatorname{Hom}_R(M,R),R) = 0$ for every i > 0.

Here, we establish the notation which will be used throughout the rest of this section. Let k be an algebraically closed field, and C a smooth projective curve of genus g over k. Let \mathcal{O}_C denote the structure sheaf of C, ω_C the canonical sheaf of C, and \mathcal{K}_C a canonical divisor on C. For a coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} on C and an integer i, set $H^i(\mathcal{F}) = H^i(C,\mathcal{F})$ and $h^i(\mathcal{F}) = h^i(C,\mathcal{F}) = \dim_k H^i(\mathcal{F})$. We denote by $\chi(\mathcal{F})$ the Euler characteristic of \mathcal{F} , i.e., $\chi(\mathcal{F}) = h^0(\mathcal{F}) - h^1(\mathcal{F})$. For a closed point P on C, k_P is the sheaf defined by

$$\Gamma(U, k_P) = \begin{cases} k & \text{if } P \in U, \\ 0 & \text{if } P \notin U \end{cases}$$

for each open subset U of C.

Now, let us prove the first assertion of our theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1.1(1). Let D be a divisor on C of degree g+1, and let $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_C(D)$ be the invertible sheaf associated to D. Fix a closed point P on C. We have an isomorphism $H^1(\mathcal{L}(-P)) \cong H^0(\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_C}(\mathcal{L}(-P),\omega_C))$ by Serre duality. Since $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_C}(\mathcal{L}(-P),\omega_C) = \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_C}(\mathcal{O}_C(D-P),\mathcal{O}_C(\mathcal{K}_C)) \cong \mathcal{O}_C(\mathcal{K}_C-D+P)$, the cohomology $H^1(\mathcal{L}(-P))$ does not vanish if and only if $\operatorname{div}(x) + (\mathcal{K}_C - D + P) \geq 0$ for some nonzero element x in k(C).

Now, suppose $H^1(\mathcal{L}(-P)) \neq 0$. Let $E = \operatorname{div}(x) + (\mathcal{K}_C - D + P) \geq 0$ with $0 \neq x \in k(C)$, and write $E = P_1 + P_2 + \cdots + P_{g-2}$, where each P_i is a closed point. Then D is linearly equivalent to $\mathcal{K}_C + P - (P_1 + P_2 + \cdots + P_{g-2})$, where $P, P_1, P_2, \dots, P_{g-2}$ run over all closed points. Let $\operatorname{Pic}_{g+1}(C)$ be the linear equivalence class of the

divisors of degree g+1 on C, and let $\phi: C^{g-1} \to \operatorname{Pic}_{g+1}(C)$ be the morphism of algebraic varieties sending $(P, P_1, P_2, \dots, P_{g-2})$ to the linear equivalence class of $\mathcal{K}_C + P - (P_1 + P_2 + \dots + P_{g-2})$. Since dim $C^{g-1} = g - 1$ and dim $\operatorname{Pic}_{g+1}(C) = g$, the morphism ϕ cannot be surjective. Thus, if we take D from outside of the image of ϕ , then $H^1(\mathcal{L}(-P)) = 0$ for all closed points P, where $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_C(D)$.

For a closed point P, the mapping $H^1(\mathcal{L}(-P)) \to H^1(\mathcal{L})$ induced from the inclusion $\mathcal{L}(-P) \to \mathcal{L}$ is surjective and we have $H^1(\mathcal{L}) = 0$, too. The Riemann-Roch theorem shows that $h^0(\mathcal{L}) = \chi(\mathcal{L}) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_C) + \deg D = 2$. On the other hand, from the exact sequence $0 \to \mathcal{L}(-P) \to \mathcal{L} \to k_P \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_C} \mathcal{L} \to 0$, we get an exact sequence $H^0(\mathcal{L}) \to H^0(k_P \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_C} \mathcal{L}) \to H^1(\mathcal{L}(-P)) = 0$. This induces a surjective homomorphism $H^0(\mathcal{L}) \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_{C,P} \to H^0(k_P \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_C} \mathcal{L}) \otimes_k \mathcal{O}_{C,P} \cong \mathcal{L}_P$, which says that \mathcal{L} is generated by global sections. Thus, the proof of the first assertion of our theorem is completed.

Next, we consider the second assertion of our theorem. Put $R = \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} H^0(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2n})$ and $M = \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} H^0(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2n+1})$. Then R is a 2-dimensional graded normal domain, and M is a finitely generated graded R-module; we have $R_n \cdot M_m \subseteq M_{n+m}$ and $M_n \cdot M_m \subseteq R_{n+m+1}$ for any integers n, m. Since \mathcal{L} is generated by global sections and $h^0(\mathcal{L}) = 2$, we have an exact sequence

$$(2.1.1) 0 \to \mathcal{L}^{-1} \to \mathcal{O}_C^{\oplus 2} \xrightarrow{(\alpha,\beta)} \mathcal{L} \to 0$$

for some $\alpha, \beta \in H^0(\mathcal{L})$.

Lemma 2.2. (1) For any divisor E on C of degree at least 2g-1, one has $H^1(\mathcal{O}_C(E))=0$.

(2) There are exact sequences

$$\begin{cases} 0 \to M_{n-1} \to R_n^{\oplus 2} \xrightarrow{(\alpha,\beta)} M_n \to 0, \\ 0 \to R_m \to M_m^{\oplus 2} \xrightarrow{(\alpha,\beta)} R_{m+1} \to 0 \end{cases}$$

for $n \ge 0$ and $m \ge 1$.

(3) One has $\operatorname{Hom}_R(M,R) \cong M(-1)$.

Proof. (1) It follows from the Serre duality theorem that there is an isomorphism $H^1(\mathcal{O}_C(E)) \cong H^0(\mathcal{O}_C(\mathcal{K}_C - E))$. Hence if $H^1(\mathcal{O}_C(E)) \neq 0$, then $\deg(\mathcal{K}_C - E) \geq 0$, and $\deg E \leq \deg \mathcal{K}_C = 2g - 2$.

(2) From (2.1.1) we obtain an exact sequence $0 \to \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2n-1} \to (\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2n})^{\oplus 2} \xrightarrow{(\alpha,\beta)} \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2n+1} \to 0$. This induces an exact sequence

$$0 \to H^0(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2n-1}) \to H^0(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2n})^{\oplus 2} \xrightarrow{(\alpha,\beta)} H^0(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2n+1}) \to H^1(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2n-1}).$$

We claim that $\eta: H^0(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2n})^{\oplus 2} \xrightarrow{(\alpha,\beta)} H^0(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2n+1})$ is a surjective homomorphism. Indeed, if n=0, then η is an isomorphism since \mathcal{L} is generated by global sections. If n=1, then $H^1(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2n-1})=H^1(\mathcal{L})=0$. If $n\geq 2$, then $\deg((2n-1)D)=(2n-1)(g+1)\geq 2g-1$, hence $H^1(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2n-1})=0$ by (1). Therefore, in any case the homomorphism η is surjective, and we obtain an exact sequence $0\to M_{n-1}\to (R_n)^{\oplus 2} \xrightarrow{(\alpha,\beta)} M_n \to 0$.

On the other hand, from (2.1.1) we get another exact sequence $0 \to \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2m} \to (\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2m+1})^{\oplus 2} \xrightarrow{(\alpha,\beta)} \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2m+2} \to 0$, which induces an exact sequence

$$0 \to H^0(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2m}) \to H^0(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2m+1})^{\oplus 2} \stackrel{(\alpha,\beta)}{\longrightarrow} H^0(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2m+2}) \to H^1(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2m}).$$

Since $m \ge 1$, the divisor 2mD has degree $2m(g+1) \ge 2g-1$, and $H^1(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2m}) = 0$ by (1). Thus we have $0 \to R_m \to M_m^{\oplus 2} \xrightarrow{(\alpha,\beta)} R_{m+1} \to 0$.

(3) There are isomorphisms

$$\operatorname{Hom}_R(M,R) \cong \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{\underline{Hom}}_R(M,R(n)) \cong \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} H^0(\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_C}(\widetilde{M},\widetilde{R(n)})),$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_C}(\widetilde{M},\widetilde{R(n)}) \cong \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_C}(\mathcal{O}_C(D),\mathcal{O}_C(2nD)) \cong \mathcal{O}_C((2n-1)D).$$

Therefore we obtain
$$\operatorname{Hom}_R(M,R) \cong \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} H^0(\mathcal{O}_C((2n-1)D)) = M(-1).$$

Now we can prove the second assertion of our theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1.1(2). Take the direct sum of copies of the first exact sequence in Lemma 2.2(2), and we obtain an exact sequence

$$(2.2.1) 0 \to M(-1) \to R^{\oplus 2} \xrightarrow{(\alpha,\beta)} M \to 0$$

of graded R-modules. Therefore there is an exact sequence

$$(2.2.2) \cdots \to R(-1)^{\oplus 2} \to R^{\oplus 2} \to R(1)^{\oplus 2} \to \cdots$$

and the R-dual of this sequence is also exact by Lemma 2.2(3). It follows that M is a totally reflexive R-module. Noting that α, β form a k-basis of $H^0(\mathcal{L})$ and using the exact sequence (2.2.1), we easily see that the R-module M is nonfree of rank one

On the other hand, Lemma 2.2(2) gives a series of surjective homomorphisms

$$R_n \xleftarrow{(\alpha,\beta)} M_{n-1}^{\oplus 2} \xleftarrow{(\alpha,\beta)} R_{n-1}^{\oplus 4} \xleftarrow{(\alpha,\beta)} \cdots \xleftarrow{(\alpha,\beta)} R_1^{\oplus 4^{n-1}}$$

for $n \geq 1$. Note that $\alpha, \beta \in M_0$ and $M_0 \cdot M_0 \subseteq R_1$. We easily see that any element of R_n is described as a linear combination of nth powers of elements of R_1 over $R_0 = k$. This means that R is a standard graded k-algebra.

Now, we prove that the ring R has (Cohen-Macaulay) type g. Let K be a canonical module of the Cohen-Macaulay ring R. Then we have $K = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} H^0(\omega_C \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2n})$. Since $\omega_C \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2n} \cong \mathcal{O}_C(\mathcal{K}_C + 2nD)$ and $\deg(\mathcal{K}_C + 2nD) = (2g - 2) + 2n(g+1) < 0$ if n < 0, we see that $H^0(\omega_C \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2n}) = 0$ for n < 0, and hence $K = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H^0(\omega_C \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2n})$.

It is enough to show that the R-module K is generated by elements of degree zero. In fact, note that $K_0 = H^0(\omega_C) \cong H^1(\mathcal{O}_C) \cong k^{\oplus g}$ by Serre duality. Hence if the statement is shown, then K is generated by a k-basis of K_0 as an R-module, and we can conclude that the minimal number of generators of the R-module K is equal to g, equivalently, R has type g.

Tensoring $\omega_C \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2n-1}$ with the exact sequence (2.1.1), we get an exact sequence $0 \to \omega_C \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2(n-1)} \to (\omega_C \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2n-1})^{\oplus 2} \xrightarrow{(\alpha,\beta)} \omega_C \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2n} \to 0$. From this we obtain an exact sequence

$$H^0(\omega_C \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2n-1})^{\oplus 2} \stackrel{(\alpha,\beta)}{\longrightarrow} K_n \to H^1(\omega_C \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2(n-1)}).$$

Since $\deg(\mathcal{K}_C+2(n-1)D)\geq 2g-1$ for $n\geq 2$, we have $H^1(\omega_C\otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2(n-1)})=0$ for $n\geq 2$ by Lemma 2.2(1), and get a surjective homomorphism $H^0(\omega_C\otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2n-1})^{\oplus 2} \stackrel{(\alpha,\beta)}{\longrightarrow} K_n$ for $n\geq 2$. Tensoring $\omega_C\otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2n-2}$ with (2.1.1) and making a similar argument, we obtain a surjective homomorphism $K_{n-1}^{\oplus 2} \stackrel{(\alpha,\beta)}{\longrightarrow} H^0(\omega_C\otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2n-1})$ for $n\geq 2$. Therefore we have

$$(2.2.3) K_n = \alpha^2 K_{n-1} + \alpha \beta K_{n-1} + \beta^2 K_{n-1} \text{ for } n \ge 2.$$

The exact sequence (2.1.1) also gives an exact sequence

$$0 \to H^0(\omega_C \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}) \to K_0^{\oplus 2} \xrightarrow{(\alpha,\beta)} H^0(\omega_C \otimes \mathcal{L})$$
$$\xrightarrow{p} H^1(\omega_C \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}) \xrightarrow{q} H^1(\omega_C)^{\oplus 2} \xrightarrow{(\alpha,\beta)} H^1(\omega_C \otimes \mathcal{L}).$$

Using the Serre duality theorem, we get $H^0(\omega_C \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}) \cong H^1(\mathcal{L}) = 0$, $H^1(\omega_C \otimes \mathcal{L}) \cong H^0(\mathcal{L}^{-1}) = 0$ and $H^1(\omega_C \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}) \cong H^0(\mathcal{L}) \cong k^{\oplus 2} \cong H^1(\omega_C)^{\oplus 2}$. Therefore the homomorphism q in the above exact sequence is an isomorphism, and thus p is the zero map. It follows that

(2.2.4)
$$K_0^{\oplus 2} \xrightarrow{(\alpha,\beta)} H^0(\omega_C \otimes \mathcal{L})$$
 is an isomorphism.

Moreover, from (2.1.1) we obtain the following two exact sequences:

$$\begin{cases} 0 \to H^0(\mathcal{O}_C) \to K_0^{\oplus 2} \xrightarrow{(\alpha,\beta)} R_1 \to H^1(\mathcal{O}_C) \to 0, \\ 0 \to K_0 \to H^0(\omega_C \otimes \mathcal{L})^{\oplus 2} \xrightarrow{(\alpha,\beta)} K_1 \to H^1(\omega_C) \to 0. \end{cases}$$

Fix a nonzero element $z \in K_0$. This element induces an exact sequence $0 \to \mathcal{O}_C \xrightarrow{z} \omega_C \to \mathcal{F} \to 0$ with dim $\mathcal{F} = 0$. There is a commutative diagram

$$0 \longrightarrow H^{0}(\mathcal{O}_{C}) \longrightarrow K_{0}^{\oplus 2} \xrightarrow{(\alpha,\beta)} R_{1} \longrightarrow H^{1}(\mathcal{O}_{C}) \longrightarrow 0,$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \downarrow f$$

$$0 \longrightarrow K_{0} \longrightarrow H^{0}(\omega_{C} \otimes \mathcal{L})^{\oplus 2} \xrightarrow{(\alpha,\beta)} K_{1} \longrightarrow H^{1}(\omega_{C}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

with exact rows. Since $H^1(\mathcal{F}) = 0$, the homomorphism f is surjective. Diagram chasing shows that $K_1 = \operatorname{Im} g + \operatorname{Im} h$. We have $\operatorname{Im} g = R_1 z$, and $\operatorname{Im} h = \alpha^2 K_0 + \alpha \beta K_0 + \beta^2 K_0$ by (2.2.4). Therefore we obtain

$$(2.2.5) K_1 = \alpha^2 K_0 + \alpha \beta K_0 + \beta^2 K_0 + R_1 z.$$

Note that α^2 , $\alpha\beta$ and β^2 are elements of R_1 . Putting together (2.2.5) and (2.2.3), we see that any element of K_n can be described as a linear combination of elements of K_0 over R. Thus, the R-module K is generated by elements of degree zero, and R has type g. See also [13, Example (2.12)].

Remark 2.3. (1) The Hilbert series of the graded ring R is as follows:

$$H_R(t) = \frac{1 + (g+1)t + gt^2}{(1-t)^2}.$$

Indeed, it is seen by Lemma 2.2(1) that $h^1(\mathcal{O}_C(2nD)) = 0$ for any $n \geq 1$. Applying the Riemann-Roch theorem, we get the Hilbert function of R:

$$H(R, n) = \dim_k R_n = h^0(\mathcal{O}_C(2nD)) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_C(2nD))$$

= $\chi(\mathcal{O}_C) + \deg(2nD) = (1 - q) + 2n(q + 1)$

for $n \ge 1$, and $H(R,0) = h^0(\mathcal{O}_C) = 1$. Thus we obtain the Hilbert series of R:

$$H_R(t) = 1 + \sum_{n \ge 1} ((1-g) + 2n(g+1))t^n = \frac{1 + (g+1)t + gt^2}{(1-t)^2},$$

as desired.

(2) Using the fact that R admits a totally reflexive module, one can also show that R has type g, as follows.

Since R is a standard graded algebra over an infinite field k, we can choose a homogeneous system of parameters x, y of R in R_1 (cf. [8, Theorem 1.5.17(c)]), and the statement (1) yields the Hilbert series of the residue ring R/(x, y):

$$H_{R/(x,y)}(t) = 1 + (g+1)t + gt^2.$$

This shows that the residue ring R/(x,y) is an artinian ring the cube of whose graded maximal ideal is zero. On the other hand, using [9, Lemma (1.3.5)], we see that M/(x,y)M is a nonfree totally reflexive R/(x,y)-module. Hence, according to [14, Theorem 3.1], the ring R/(x,y) has type g, and so does the ring R.

- (3) It is seen from the exact sequence (2.2.2) that all the Betti numbers of the R-module M are equal to two. This especially says that M has finite complexity, hence M has lower complete intersection dimension zero; see [12] or [4] for the details.
- (4) The ring R/(x,y) obtained in (2) is an artinian local ring of Loewy length 3, just like the ring P in [11, Proposition (3.4)], and both R/(x,y) and P yield totally reflexive modules with constant Betti numbers of value 2. The authors do not know how the two constructions differ. However, since the Hilbert series of P is $H_P(t) = 1 + 4t + 3t^2$, the ring R/(x,y) is not isomorphic to P unless R has genus g = 3.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. The authors would like to thank an anonymous referee for pointing out several references relevant to this paper and suggesting that they should mention Remark 2.3(4).

References

- [1] AUSLANDER, M. Anneaux de Gorenstein, et torsion en algèbre commutative. Séminaire d'Algèbre Commutative dirigé par Pierre Samuel, 1966/67. Texte rédigé, d'après des exposés de Maurice Auslander, Marquerite Mangeney, Christian Peskine et Lucien Szpiro. École Normale Supérieure de Jeunes Filles Secrétariat mathématique, Paris 1967.
- Auslander, M.; Bridger, M. Stable module theory. Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society, No. 94 American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I. 1969.
- [3] AVRAMOV, L. L. Infinite free resolutions. Six lectures on commutative algebra (Bellaterra, 1996), 1–118, Progr. Math., 166, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1998.
- [4] AVRAMOV, L. L. Homological dimensions and related invariants of modules over local rings. Representations of algebra. Vol. I, II, 1–39, Beijing Norm. Univ. Press, Beijing, 2002.
- [5] AVRAMOV, L. L.; GASHAROV, V. N.; PEEVA, I. V. A periodic module of infinite virtual projective dimension. J. Pure Appl. Algebra 62 (1989), no. 1, 1–5.
- [6] AVRAMOV, L. L.; GASHAROV, V. N.; PEEVA, I. V. Complete intersection dimension. Inst. HAUTES ÉTUDES SCI. PUBL. MATH. No. 86, (1997), 67–114 (1998).

- [7] AVRAMOV, L. L.; MARTSINKOVSKY, A. Absolute, relative, and Tate cohomology of modules of finite Gorenstein dimension. Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 85 (2002), no. 2, 393–440.
- [8] Bruns, W.; Herzog, J. Cohen-Macaulay rings. revised edition. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 39. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [9] CHRISTENSEN, L. W. Gorenstein dimensions. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1747. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.
- [10] HARTSHORNE, R. Algebraic geometry. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977.
- [11] GASHAROV, V. N.; PEEVA, I. V. Boundedness versus periodicity over commutative local rings. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 320 (1990), no. 2, 569–580.
- [12] GERKO, A. A. On homological dimensions. (Russian) Mat. Sb. 192 (2001), no. 8, 79–94; translation in Sb. Math. 192 (2001), no. 7-8, 1165–1179.
- [13] TOMARI, M. Maximal-ideal-adic filtration on $R^1\psi_*(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{V}})$ for normal two-dimensional singularities, Adv. Studies in pure math. 8 (1986), Complex Analytic Singularities, 638–647.
- [14] YOSHINO, Y. Modules of G-dimension zero over local rings with the cube of maximal ideal being zero. Commutative algebra, singularities and computer algebra (Sinaia, 2002), 255– 273, NATO Sci. Ser. II Math. Phys. Chem., 115, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2003.

Department of Mathematics, School of Science and Technology, Meiji University, 1-1-1 Higashimita, Tama-ku, Kawasaki 214-8571, Japan

E-mail address: takahasi@math.meiji.ac.jp

Department of Mathematics, College of Humanities and Sciences, Nihon University, 3-25-40 Sakurajosui, Setagaya-Ku, Tokyo 156-8550, Japan

 $E ext{-}mail\ address:$ watanabe@math.chs.nihon-u.ac.jp